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## Introduction

OHIO

Goal Safe operation of an aircraft in icing conditions within a reduced flight envelope
Objective Develop predictive envelope protection system
Approach Analyze available 2-D and 3-D airfoil data to identify limit detection criteria in icing
Develop a predictive method to avoid limit violation
Validate prediction method against simulated FDC data and flight test data
Implement and test the predictive envelope protection system in the flight simulator

## Open Loop Envelope Protection Version 0.1

Smart Icing System Review, September 30, 2002

- Zeroth order envelope protection model is currently used in the SIS simulator
- Provides protection in the longitudinal mode
- Critical Parameter - $\alpha$
- Utilizes the phenomenon of lift reduction due to icing to estimate stall angle envelope limits during flight
- The stall angle limits are relayed to the pilot through limit indicators in the glass cockpit and stick shaker


## Envelope Protection Version 0.1

## n.linois SAIE

- $\mathrm{C}_{\text {Imax }}$ vs $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\text {I }}$ fitted as linear functions for several $\alpha$

$$
C_{l_{\max }}=f_{\alpha_{\text {rim }}}\left(\Delta C_{l}\right)
$$

- The $\alpha_{\text {stall }}$ corresponding to the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {Imax }}$ is then set as the limit

$$
\alpha_{\text {stall }}=\frac{C_{L_{\max }}}{C_{L \alpha}}
$$



## System Limitations

- Instantaneous limits and sensor data are used to cue the pilot
- The pilot is not warned of possible limit exceedence due to rapid changes in the aircraft state during dynamic maneuvers
- Lead time needed for pilots to take counter measures and avoid crossing limit boundaries
- System needed for prediction of future values from available sensor data including control positions


## Predictive Envelope Protection



## Open-loop Predictive Envelope Protection

I

- Limit detection
- Use information from icing characterization for estimating limit boundaries
- Prediction of limit violation
- Use instantaneous sensor data and stick position to predict aircraft response
- Ascertain whether a limit is breeched
- Envelope Protection Displays
- Display limit information in the glass cockpit
- Use force feedback to avoid limit violation


## Problems with Previously Proposed Predictive Method

- The method of Calise et al. proposed in the last review cannot be applied to the Twin Otter in the open loop case
- The time taken to reach the dynamic trim state $(\alpha=0, \beta=0)$ too long
- The transient peaks following stick inputs higher than steady state values
- The response of the Twin Otter not damped enough
- An alternative method, using on-line solutions of the 6 DoF nonlinear equations of motion, was thus developed for predicting future limit violations




## Open Loop Envelope Protection 1.0

- Solve the equations of motion to predict the aircraft state 5 sec into the future
- Assume all control inputs fixed at current values
- Compare the critical parameter response to calculated real-time limit boundaries
- Determine whether a limit is exceeded within 5 sec of current time
- Inform the pilot of any predicted limit violations and take other appropriate action



## Prediction Algorithm

- The aircraft configuration and state at each time step is used to initialize the code
- Control deflections are assumed to be constant during the 5 sec
- The iced non-linear aircraft model is used to calculate the force and moment coefficients within the code
- A 6 Dof system is then solved using a non-linear ODE solver



## Validation with FDC

## I <br> OHIO

Smart Icing System Review, September 30, 2002

## The predictive algorithm was validated against FDC results for different scenarios

$\alpha$ response to a $2^{\circ}$ step elevator input at $\mathbf{t}=0$
$\alpha$ response to a $-2^{\circ}$ step elevator input at $t=0$



## Validation Flight Test Data

## 1 <br> 11.1.1NOIS <br> OHIO

Smart Icing System Review, September 30, 2002

- The EOM algorithm was tested on clean flight test data
- Flight no. 020213f1
- As seen on the plot the predictions compare well with the flight data
- As expected, changes in control position affect the EOM comparisons



## Open-loop Envelope Protection Method Simulation

Scenario without Predictive Envelope Protection FDC Simulation


## Open-loop Predictive Envelope Protection Simulation

When warning is available, an elevator command can be issued to reduce the angle of attack in time to avoid limit violation


## Conclusion

- Limit boundary estimation using differences in lift generated implemented in the simulator
- Not enough time to warn pilot using instantaneous limits
- Prediction using solution of the equations of motion in the future should allow enough lead time to warn pilots of any danger of limit exceedence


## Work in Progress

- Implement predictive method in the simulator
- Lateral envelope protection
- Specify critical parameters
- Develop method for estimation of limit boundaries
- Test prediction method in the lateral mode
- Implement lateral protection in the simulator

